Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/720,177	NAKAMURA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	DELIA M. RAMIREZ	1652
All Participants:	ipants: Status of Application: <u>after BPAI decision</u>	
(1) <u>DELIA M. RAMIREZ</u> .	(3)	
(2) Shelly Cermak.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 February 2011	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 12 and 18		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Delia M. Ramirez/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1652	(Applicant/Applicant's Representate	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted Ms Cermak to indicate that since the 112 first and second paragraphs rejections were reversed by the BPAI, and since claims 12 and 18 were not rejected as obvious over the prior art rejection which was affirmed, the Examiner had the option of converting claims 12 and 18 into independent form by an Examiner's amendment or give applicant the opportunity to amend those claims accordingly. Ms Cermak elected to have the Examiner amend the claims and pass the application to issue..